75th JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE SIXTH CIRCUIT MAY 15-18, 2018 NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

Last Updated February 14, 2018

TUESDAY, MAY 15, 2018

NOTE: All Programming is Based on Central Daylight Savings Time

5:30 P.M. Opening Reception - *The Westin Nashville*

WEDNESDAY, MAY 16, 2018

8:15 A.M. Welcome and Opening Remarks

8:30 A.M. - Contempt of Court - Plenary

9:45 A.M. A century ago, a young black man from East Tennessee was falsely accused of rape and railroaded through the criminal justice system. The judge appointed unqualified lawyers and told them they didn't have to do any work on his case. Those lawyers convinced their client to waive his rights to appeal and accept the death sentence.

The only two African-American lawyers practicing in Tennessee and Georgia stepped forward to file the first federal habeas corpus petition in a state criminal case on his behalf. They convinced the U.S. Supreme Court to intervene, only to have an angry lynch mob burn their homes, chase them out of town and murder their client.

This program chronicles the amazing story of Ed Johnson and his two heroic lawyers – a story buried in dusty old law books for decades and brought to life by lawyer/journalist Mark Curriden.

This historical story features the first lawyers of color to be lead counsel in a case before the Supreme Court. It also showcases the first and only criminal trial ever conducted before the Supreme Court. Experts say this little-known case dramatically reformed the American justice system and redefined the practice of law.

This program exemplifies why lawyers as advocates for the poor and downtrodden are best positioned to take the steps necessary to uphold the rule of law. The program also highlights the role the judiciary plays in protecting the rights of citizens.

Introduction

The Honorable Pamela L. Reeves United States District Judge Eastern District of Tennessee

Speaker

Mark Curriden, Esq. Award-Winning Legal Journalist Bestselling Author

9:45 A.M. Break

10:00 A.M. - Breakout Sessions

11:00 A.M.

1. <u>Essential Knowledge for Federal Litigation</u> (will be repeated)

Geared toward less experienced federal litigators or as a refresher for the moderately experienced litigator, a panel will discuss the basics such as jurisdiction, discovery, motion practice and special trial procedures. The panel, including experienced federal judges, will also cover some of the "do's and don'ts" in federal litigation practice.

Presiding

The Honorable David M. Lawson United States District Judge Eastern District of Michigan

Panelist(s)

2. Discovery of Social Media and Mobile Devices

This panel will answer questions about discovery as it pertains to social media accounts and mobile devices with information you wanted to know but were too afraid to ask about topics including: ephemeral data, services like Snapchat, Instagram, Wickr, etc. The panel looks closely at this new information landscape to help you understand its significance and implications for the future of discovery practice and litigation.

Presiding

TBD

Panelist(s)

TBD

3. Current Issues Involving Claims in Bankruptcy

A panel, including a bankruptcy judge, a national bank in-house counsel, and the leader of a large law firm specializing in bankruptcy accounts, will discuss a wide range of current topics relating to claims in bankruptcy – and how to pursue them and defend against objections. Among the issues expected to be covered are: recent amendments to bankruptcy rules involving filing claims; problems arising when mortgage claims are not filed or are deficient; the effect of disallowance of a claim on a lien; late-filed claims, stale claims, amendments to claims, and much more. Changes in the applicable rules, various recent court decisions, problems with the constant selling of claims and transferring of servicing, and the intervention of the government in certain consumer protection areas have all made the bankruptcy claims process a more difficult and uncertain area for judges and lawyers alike. Panelists will provide their insights and guidance on current trends in this area of the law.

Presiding

TBD

Panelists

The Honorable Tracey N. Wise Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge Eastern District of Kentucky

Michael T. Bates, Esq. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. Fort Worth, Texas

Alane A. Becket, Esq. Becket & Lee LLP Malvern, Pennsylvania

4. New Perspectives on Appellate Litigation

Description pending.

Presiding

TBD

Panelists

11:10 A.M. - **Breakout Sessions 12:10** P.M.

1. Breakout Session To Be Determined.

Presiding

TBD

Panelists

TBD

2. <u>Case Management on Steroids</u> (will be repeated)

For the more experienced federal litigator, the panel will discuss the importance of early factual and legal issue identification and consideration of whether any of such issues may be case dispositive. The panel will also discuss discovery issues, including e-discovery and discovery proportionality, as well as the impact of the proportionality requirement on trial preparation. The panel will also focus on evaluating costs and considering early ADR options.

Presiding

The Honorable Aleta Arthur Trauger United States District Judge Middle District of Tennessee

Panelist(s)

TBD

3. Election Law

Description pending.

Presiding

TBD

Panelist(s)

4. <u>Civil and Criminal Practice Before United States Magistrate Judges: A Primer (will be repeated)</u>

Sixth Circuit practitioners in the U.S. District Courts often ask questions about United States Magistrate Judges, such as: What is a Magistrate Judge, and what is the authority of a Magistrate Judge when ruling in civil and criminal cases? When do Magistrate Judges issue a Report & Recommendation, and when does an Order issue? How do counsel consent to a Magistrate Judge? When do lawyers object and when do they appeal? These and other questions about Magistrate Judge practice will be discussed and answered in this practical, hour-long session co-chaired by U.S. Magistrate Judges Michael J. Newman (S.D. Ohio) and James R. Knepp (N.D. Ohio). Magistrate Judges from throughout the Sixth Circuit will serve as panelists.

Presiding

The Honorable James R. Knepp, II United States Magistrate Judge Northern District of Ohio

and

The Honorable Michael J. Newman United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of Ohio

Panelist(s)

TBD

12:30 P.M. - <u>Luncheon – Guest Speaker Ganesh Sitaraman</u> 1:45 P.M.

Professor Sitaraman is the author of *The Crisis of the Middle-Class Constitution: Why Economic Inequality Threatens Our Republic* (Alfred A. Knopf, 2017), and *The Counterinsurgent's Constitution: Law in the Age of Small Wars* (Oxford University Press, 2012), which won the 2013 Palmer Civil Liberties Prize. He has commented on foreign and domestic policy in *The New York Times, The New Republic, Boston Globe*, among other places. An Eagle Scout and a Truman Scholar, he earned his A.B. in government magna cum laude at Harvard, a master's degree in political thought and intellectual history from Emmanuel College, Cambridge, where he was the Lionel de Jersey Harvard Scholar, and his J.D. magna cum laude from Harvard Law School, where he was an editor on the Harvard Law Review. He is a principal of the Truman National Security Project and a Term Member of the Council on Foreign Relations, as well as a Professor of Law at Vanderbilt Law School.

2:00 P.M. - Breakout Sessions 3:00 P.M.

1. TVA v. the Snail Darter: The Hidden Story Behind "the Nation's Most Extreme Environmental Case" (TVA v. Hill. et al., 37 U.S. 153 (1978) (will be repeated)

With the discovery of a tiny endangered fish in the middle of TVA's plan to build Tellico Dam, the last of 68 dams on the Tennessee River System, 19-years of citizen opposition to the project took on new life. Thanks to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, the snail darter was instantly transformed into both an icon for species preservation and a despised symbol of the environmental movement's alleged excesses. It's been called the nation's "most extreme environmental case ever," where "hypocritical eco-activists" cynically mis-used a tiny fish of no value to block a huge, \$160-million dollar, hydroelectric dam.

The intense legal battle that ensued over the darter's fate was contested all the way to the Supreme Court. *TVA v. Hill*, the Court's first decision interpreting the Endangered Species Act, in 1978, has been recognized as one of the Court's two most significant environmental law cases. The Supreme Court, intervening in an intragovernmental dispute between the TVA and the Department of Interior, affirmed the 6th Circuit's injunction prohibiting the TVA from completing Tellico Dam. The case lives on in political discourse as a harsh example of eco-extremism. The actual story, however, may be surprising, including the fact that this last dam was not justified as a hydro project, but rather for land development of an imaginary city, and recreation, condemning 300 family farms primarily for re-sale at agency profit.

The law professor who, along with his students and Tennessee farmers, fought the congressional battles, and won the Supreme Court case and a Cabinet-level economic review, tells the hidden story behind one of the nation's most significant environmental law conflicts. *See* video pre-view: goo.gl/ecQ158; and a book about the saga, THE SNAIL DARTER & THE DAM (Yale Univ. Press).

Presiding

TRD

Speaker

Zygmunt J. B. Plater Professor of Law Boston College Law School

2. <u>Sentencing – Judicial Decision Making</u>

Description pending.

Presiding

TBD

Panelists

Tracy E. George
Associate Provost for Faculty Affairs
Charles B. Cox III and Lucy D. Cox Family Chair in Law and Liberty
Professor of Political Science
Director, Cecil D. Branstetter Litigation & Dispute Resolution Program
Vanderbilt Law School

Chris Guthrie Dean, John Wade-Kent Syverud Professor of Law Associate Dean for Academic Affairs Vanderbilt Law School

3. <u>Jury Instructions</u>

Jury instructions serve multiple purposes -- they can be used to guide the lawyers through the trial preparation process, from initial disclosures to discovery to trial and closing arguments, and they provide the jury with the law that they are to apply to the facts that they find. Despite their importance, however, it appears that lawyers tend to view instructions as an issue for the court to address. For more experienced federal litigators as well as for litigators who want to gain trial experience, this panel will focus on using jury instructions in a broader way, as well as how they can be made more effective, and perhaps simpler, for the jury.

Presiding

The Honorable Sheryl H. Lipman United States District Judge Western District of Tennessee

Panelist(s)

TBD

4. Breakout Session To Be Determined.

Presiding

TBD

Panelist(s)

TBD

3:00 P.M. Break

3:15 P.M. - District Breakout Sessions

4:45 P.M.

5:45 P.M. - Life Members' Reception (location TBD)

8:15 P.M. Open to all conference registrants

THURSDAY, MAY 17, 2018

8:30 A.M. - **Reentry Courts "Pull of Gravity"** - **Plenary 9:45 A.M.** When people hear the term "criminal justice."

When people hear the term "criminal justice," thoughts of police arrests, prosecutors, defense attorneys, jury trials and prison often come to mind. As law enforcement agencies continue to do more with less in these difficult economic times, it has become increasingly clear that we need to focus on programs that help prevent crime and that will help past offenders reintegrate into our communities as productive citizens. While incarceration serves an important societal goal of keeping dangerous offenders off the streets, the reality is that most offenders eventually return to the community. Without a comprehensive plan which addresses crime prevention and reentry issues, the cyclical nature of crime by repeat offenders will continue.

A panel will discuss the establishment and efficacy of a reentry program in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania in particular, as well as aspects of programs in other jurisdictions. The Eastern District of Pennsylvania program's objectives include preventing recidivism, reducing the high rate of violent crime in the City of Philadelphia, and assisting high-risk returning citizens with multiple social, family, and logistical issues they confront upon their return to society after years in prison. Intensive judicial oversight supplements the Probation Office's supervisory regime, with ongoing input from the Federal Public Defender and the U.S. Attorney.

The most unique aspect of the program is the group dynamic. All participants attend court as a group and are required individually to discuss their accomplishments and identify any obstacles they are encountering in the reentry process. This dialogue leads to the establishment of goals for the participant to achieve before the next court session. If the participant is not complying with the goals of the program or is violating the terms of release, graduated sanctions are imposed and explained to the entire group. Uniform sanctions, access to social services, job opportunities, cognitive behavioral therapy, legal assistance related to collateral issues confronting individuals returning to the community and positive reinforcement are among the tools employed to foster positive changes in behavior and thereby avoid revocation proceedings.

Presiding

The Honorable Luis Felipe Restrepo United States Circuit Judge Third Circuit Court of Appeals

Panelists

Jennifer A. Williams, Esq. Assistant United States Attorney Chief, National Security and Cybercrimes Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Fred Crawford Supervisory Probation Officer Pennsylvania Eastern Probation Office Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

Darryl Booker Supervision to Aid Reentry (STAR) Program Graduate Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

9:45 A.M. Break

10:00 A.M. - Breakout Sessions **11:00** A.M.

1. TVA v. the Snail Darter: The Hidden Story Behind "the Nation's Most Extreme Environmental Case" (TVA v. Hill. et al., 37 U.S. 153 (1978) (repeated)

With the discovery of a tiny endangered fish in the middle of TVA's plan to build Tellico Dam, the last of 68 dams on the Tennessee River System, 19-years of citizen opposition to the project took on new life. Thanks to the Endangered Species Act of 1973, the snail darter was instantly transformed into both an icon for species preservation and a despised symbol of the environmental movement's alleged excesses. It's been called the nation's "most extreme environmental case ever," where "hypocritical eco-activists" cynically mis-used a tiny fish of no value to block a huge, \$160-million dollar, hydroelectric dam.

The intense legal battle that ensued over the darter's fate was contested all the way to the Supreme Court. *TVA v. Hill*, the Court's first decision interpreting the Endangered Species Act, in 1978, has been recognized as one of the Court's two most significant environmental law cases. The Supreme Court, intervening in an intragovernmental dispute between the TVA and the Department of Interior, affirmed the 6th Circuit's injunction prohibiting the TVA from completing Tellico Dam. The case lives on in political discourse as a harsh example of eco-extremism. The actual story, however, may be surprising, including the fact that this last dam was not justified as a hydro project, but rather for land development of an imaginary city, and recreation, condemning 300 family farms primarily for re-sale at agency profit.

The law professor who, along with his students and Tennessee farmers, fought the congressional battles, and won the Supreme Court case and a Cabinet-level economic review, tells the hidden story behind one of the nation's most significant environmental law conflicts. *See* video pre-view: goo.gl/ecQ158; and a book about the saga, THE SNAIL DARTER & THE DAM (Yale Univ. Press).

Presiding

TBD

Speaker

Zygmunt J. B. Plater Boston College Law School Boston, Massachusetts

2. <u>Civil and Criminal Practice Before United States Magistrate Judges: A Primer (repeated)</u>

Sixth Circuit practitioners in the U.S. District Courts often ask questions about United States Magistrate Judges, such as: What is a Magistrate Judge, and what is the authority of a Magistrate Judge when ruling in civil and criminal cases? When do Magistrate Judges issue a Report & Recommendation, and when does an Order issue? How do counsel consent to a Magistrate Judge? When do lawyers object and when do they appeal? These and other questions about Magistrate Judge practice will be discussed and answered in this practical, hour-long session co-chaired by U.S. Magistrate Judges Michael J. Newman (S.D. Ohio) and James R. Knepp (N.D. Ohio). Magistrate Judges from throughout the Sixth Circuit will serve as panelists.

Presiding

The Honorable James R. Knepp, II United States Magistrate Judge Northern District of Ohio

and

The Honorable Michael J. Newman United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of Ohio

Panelist(s)

TBD

3. <u>Case Management on Steroids</u> (repeated)

For the more experienced federal litigator, the panel will discuss the importance of early factual and legal issue identification and consideration of whether any of such issues may be case dispositive. The panel will also discuss discovery issues, including e-discovery and discovery proportionality, as well as the impact of the proportionality requirement on trial preparation. The panel will also focus on evaluating costs and considering early ADR options.

Presiding

The Honorable Aleta Arthur Trauger United States District Judge Middle District of Tennessee

Panelist(s)

TBD

4. Essential Knowledge for Federal Court Practice (repeated)

Geared toward less experienced federal litigators or as a refresher for the moderately experienced litigator, a panel will discuss the basics such as jurisdiction, discovery, motion practice and special trial procedures. The panel, including experienced federal judges will also cover some of the do's and don'ts in federal litigation practice.

Presiding

The Honorable David M. Lawson United States District Judge Eastern District of Michigan

Panelist(s)

11:10 A.M. – Breakout Sessions 12:10 P.M.

1. Opioid Litigation & Crisis

Description pending.

Presiding

TBD

Panelist

Sam Quinones Journalist and Author

<u>Dreamland: The True Tale of America's Opiate Epidemic</u>

2. CJA Review Report

The Chair of the Committee to Review the Criminal Justice Act and others will discuss the Committee's report and recommendations. The report is the culmination of two years of work including public hearings and submissions from hundreds of judges, practitioners and interested parties and represents the first comprehensive review of the Criminal Justice Act in nearly twenty-five years. Conference attendees are invited to pose questions.

Moderator

Doris Randle-Holt, Esq. Federal Public Defender Western District of Tennessee

Panelists

The Honorable Jeffery (Chip) S. Frensley United States Magistrate Judge Middle District of Tennessee

Cait T. Clarke, Esq. Chief, Defender Services Office Administrative Office of the United States Courts Washington, DC

3. <u>Using Chapter 11 to Sell Rather than Reorganize</u>

A panel including a bankruptcy judge, two experienced practitioners, and a financial advisor with expertise in Chapter 11 cases will discuss a wide range of current topics relating to sales of businesses in bankruptcy. Chapter 11 is often used as a mechanism to facilitate sales rather than to reorganize a business, and that has changed the focus of many cases. The panel is expected to discuss topics such as: how fast is too fast to sell substantially all the assets; surviving long enough to sell; trends in effective ways to sell troubled businesses; "stalking horses" and breakup fees; how much notice is enough; what does "free and clear" really mean; successor liability in a bankruptcy sale; selling assets when government approval is involved; attempts to provide releases as part of a sale; and other related topics.

Presiding

The Honorable Jeffery P. Hopkins Chief United States Bankruptcy Judge Southern District of Ohio

Panelist(s)

William Henrich Getzler Henrich & Associates LLC New York, New York

Stephen Lerner, Esq. Squire Patton Boggs, LLP Cincinnati, Ohio

Kay Standridge Kress, Esq. Pepper Hamilton, LLP Southfield, Michigan

4. Artificial Intelligence and Implications for Judges, Lawvers, and the Legal Profession

Description pending.

Presiding

TBD

Panelist(s)

TBD

6:00 P.M. Reception and Banquet – Country Music Hall of Fame

Welcome and Introduction

The Honorable R. Guy Cole, Jr. Chief United States Circuit Judge Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals

Guest Speakers

The Honorable Elena Kagan Associate Justice Supreme Court of the United States

Jon Meacham

Pulitzer Prize-Winning Author and Historian

Jon Meacham is a renowned presidential historian, contributing writer to *The New York Times Book Review*, contributing editor at TIME, and Pulitzer Prize-winning author.

American Inns of Court Professionalism Award

FRIDAY, MAY 18, 2018

8:30 A.M. - 150th Anniversary of the 14th Amendment – *Plenary*

10:10 A.M.

In 1868, the 14th Amendment to the Constitution of the United States granted citizenship and equal civil and legal rights to African Americans and slaves who had been emancipated after the American Civil War, including them under the umbrella phrase "all persons born or naturalized in the United States." 150 years later a panel of legal scholars will discuss the 14th Amendment and the impact of equal protections it affords on legal decisions over time and in everyday life.

Moderator

Professor Kenneth W. Mack Lawrence D. Biele Professor of Law Harvard Law School

Panelist(s)

Michael Les Benedict Emeritus Professor Department of History The Ohio State University

Michael Klarman Kirkland & Ellis Professor of Law Harvard Law School

Suzanna Sherry Herman O. Loewenstein Chair in Law Vanderbilt Law School

David Strauss

Gerald Ratner Distinguished Service Professor of Law Faculty Director of the Jenner & Block Supreme Court and Appellate Clinic University of Chicago Law School

10:10 A.M. - Report on Circuit-Wide Civics Outreach – *Plenary* 10:30 A.M.

Presiding

The Honorable Curtis Collier United States District Judge Eastern District of Tennessee

and

The Honorable Michael J. Newman United States Magistrate Judge Southern District of Ohio

10:45 A.M. - Supreme Court Review - Plenary 12:15 P.M.

Presiding

The Honorable Jane B. Stranch United States Circuit Judge Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals

Panelist(s)

Erwin Chemerinsky Dean, Jesse H. Choper Distinguished Professor of Law University of California, Berkely